

EXAMPLE OF A SUCCESSFUL ABSTRACT

Theme Leader Presentation
Stream: ARMS 2015 Singapore

Title:

'Coordinating Research Integrity, Governance and Ethics Expectations in International Research Collaborations: Pitfalls and Solutions'

Authors/Presenters*:

Dr Ted Rohr*, Director Research Ethics & Compliance Support, UNSW Australia (ted.rohr@unsw.edu.au): As founding leader of the ARMS Ethics & Research Integrity Special Interest Group, Ted has a long-standing and significant experience in the stream topic. He is engaged at both national and international levels in shaping the discussions around research integrity, governance and ethics and focuses on the contribution towards high-quality research development.

Bronwyn Greene, Executive Officer Office of the Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research), UNSW Australia (b.greene@unsw.edu.au): Bronwyn has worked in the Australian higher education and research administration/management sector for both government and universities for about 20 years. Amongst other things, Bronwyn is responsible for research policy, planning, strategy and research integrity at UNSW. Bronwyn has been invited to present nationally and internationally on research policy and research integrity. She is the immediate past Chair of the Group of Eight Research Integrity Group and the UNSW representative on the Universitas21 (U21) Research Integrity Committee.

Abstract:

In international research settings research compliance expectations are complicated. Institutions and researchers need to understand, and have mechanisms in place to navigate through, the different research governance expectations of all countries involved in a collaboration; from the different legislative requirements, national and institutional research codes, as well as the sometimes conflicting funding conditions when single projects are funded from multiple international sources. Institutions and researchers are pretty good at covering off the standard terms of collaboration agreements such as funding, deliverables, project terms, IP ownership and the like. These contract terms are generally well understood and managed internationally. Where collaboration agreements have generally failed is in including clear terms related to the responsible conduct of research and in identifying, investigating, reporting and dealing with allegations of research misconduct. Expectations on the responsible conduct of research, such as responsible authorship, publication and data management are encompassed in the Singapore Statement on Research Integrity but may conflict with local legislative expectations. In research involving humans or animals, there are significant cultural and legislative differences among countries and their funding bodies in expected review and monitoring mechanisms. Here, we collect and report on experiences from senior researchers and research administrators involved in international research.